D.C. DEPARTMENT OF FOR-HIRE VEHICLES

+ + + + +

GENERAL COMMISSION MEETING

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING TO REVIEW TAXICAB RATE STRUCTURE

+ + + + +

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 4TH, 2016

+ + + + +

The Commission met in the D.C.
Department of For-Hire Vehicles, 2235 Shannon
Place SE, Washington, D.C. 20020, Hearing Room
Suite 2032 at 10:15 a.m., Ernest Chrappah, Acting
Director, presiding.

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:

ERNEST CHRAPPAH, Acting Director DOTTI LOVE WADE, Commissioner

STAFF PRESENT

JUANDA MIXON, Secretary to the Commission

JAQUES P. LERNER, ESQ., General Counsel

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

10:15 a.m.

MR. CHRAPPAH: Good morning and welcome to the public hearing on review of the taxicab restructure. My name is Ernest Chrappah, Acting Director of the Department of For-Hire Vehicles. We are located in Public Hearing #2023 at 2235 Shannon Place Southeast, Washington D.C. Today is Friday, November 4th and the time is 10:15.

Before we get started to today's proceeding, I would like to invite you all to join me in a moment of silence to those who departed.

(Moment of silence)

Thank you. The DC Court authorizes the Department of For-Hire Vehicles to set taxicab rates. It also requires the department to review taxicab restructure and at least consider it once every two years. The last such review was conducted in 2014 where the then Taxicab Commission determined that no changes to

the taxicab rates will be made, except to eliminate meter rates for digitally dispatched rides. As part of its final review of taxicab rates the Department of For-Hire Vehicles is required to hold at least one public hearing to solicit stakeholder feedback; then within 120 days of the hearing it must review the feedback provided and issue a decision on when our taxicab rates should be adjusted.

If the Department determines that modifications to the taxicab restructure are needed, those changes must be implemented no later than 120 days after the hearing date. In making this decision the Department will balance the interest of the industry stakeholders, traveling public, as for us to ensure adequate fare and reasonable conversation for operators.

Today's hearing was originally going to be divided into two parts; however, based off the registered speakers we will keep only Part 1.

Part 1 is for public vehicle for-hire businesses, advocacy groups including unions, taxicab

companies and taxicab associations. These entities may appear to your representatives, including leaders, managers, owners and/or attorneys. We will give each participant 30 minutes. We have two registered speakers for Part 1 and no registered speakers for Part 2. The registered speakers for Part 1 are Mr. Jeffrey Schaeffer and Royale Simms.

Madame Secretary, please call the registered speakers in the order that they sign up.

MS. MIXON: Mr. Jeffrey Schaeffer, you may take a seat at the table, please. Make sure the microphone is close enough that we can hear you, and sign in also please.

MR. SCHAEFFER: Yes, thank you very much. Jeffrey Schaeffer, TranCo Inc. and I certainly won't need 30 minutes. Thank you.

I'll just take a few minutes; there was some things that come to my attention recently about rates that were concerning. The Section 801 Rates and Charges you have a sticker

that appears to have been inadvertently priced at a \$35 wait time, and through some of the rule-makings I see it's been in effect for about two years now. There was an emergency rule-making which was dated March 6th, 2015 in the system that came out talking about the emergency rule-making is necessary to change the wait time in Rate 801.7c1C which was inadvertently increased from \$25 per hour to \$35 per hour. And a final rule-making published December of 2014. However, no one's changed the meter rate and the majority of all taxis are running at the \$25 wait time.

Recently, I don't know what sparked it, but somebody started to change meter rates, maybe it's the calibration, but there are companies that have been getting a lot of inquiries as a meter company from drivers to change the rate. I was alarmed because it's going to be a rate increase, and if somebody's been riding even though it's been on the books for two years, no one's really changed it. If someone's been riding along and a lot of

customers are regular customers who go to work
every day or take the same trips, all the sudden
if they get a vehicle, that rate is going to go
up in the same time frame, the same distance, the
same traffic pattern, and an increase in rates.

I'm just really alarmed about that because the effect that the TNC's has had on the taxi trips has been quite large. The trips, you've seen a drastic decrease in free hails.

So, I really propose that we change it back to the \$25 rate before and make some kind of notice if they're going to go that way before there's too many meters switching over, because I know a few meter companies have done a lot of changes in the past couple of weeks.

The next thing I wanted to bring up, and I think time is of the essence, because since 2012 we've had a Snow Emergency Rate that is \$15 per trip, and this \$15 per trip basically makes it an \$18.50 drop. So there are times when someone may go a few blocks and it's \$20 because the drop is \$18.50. I think that has really

caused a decrease in trips due to large Snow

Emergency Fare increase. The original Snow

Emergency Fare was double the base fare, so I

would propose that the agency look into changing

the Snow Emergency either to maybe \$7 per trip or

go back to double the base fare, or do a \$4 per

mile rate.

I know it's difficult; you want to get drivers out there and there's some incentive to get drivers on the road during snow to get people traveling, but \$4 per mile I think is reasonable to take care of the danger and additional damages that happen to cars, and to get the incentive to get someone out there. It also takes care of the short trips if someone goes a couple miles, it's only an extra \$4.

So, those are the two things that I would like the agency to look into is the rates and just take in consideration the fact that you can catch a taxi across town from Union Station and catch an Uber across town from Union Station and the rates are considerably different. The

Uber rate is about 60 percent of the taxi, which causing an alarm at Union Station because I see drivers lined up waiting for hours, and Uber's coming picking off in that second line, and passengers just continuing to use that. So, I think a rate increase would be alarming at this time with the current market.

Thank you very much.

MR. CHRAPPAH: All right, thank you Mr. Schaeffer. One question to help me understand your testimony a little bit; are you also suggesting that we take a look at the contract rate which is currently \$35 an hour?

MR. SCHAEFFER: The hourly, the touring rate. The touring rate is not a huge thing, a lot of people don't use it, but really if you could take a look at everything. I mean, if we run fares and take Uber and Lyft and the taxi rate, and then take maybe some outside jurisdictions and see where we're at and maybe a time to look at everything. Because I've had drivers come to me and say how can we get a

reduced fare to get more business back, realizing if you drop it a little bit, maybe people will ride the taxis more. But if you get more trips at the end of the day, that net result end of the day is more revenue for the driver, so it's not per trip, it's just getting people back into the taxis.

But my two suggestions were the wait time should not be \$35 per hour; it should be where everybody's programmed at now \$25. I think even though it's been legal to change it for two years, 80 percent of the taxis do not have it.

I've checked with other fleet owners, and they're also in line with my thoughts about the fact that the majority of drivers have not changed it and it would be alarming at this time to change it.

Then, while we're taking this, I think the Snow Emergency Fare is something that needs to be looked at in addition to the reducing the wait time back to the original \$25 approved rate as opposed to an inadvertently rate because of the sticker.

1	MR. CHRAPPAH: All right, thank you
2	very much.
3	MR. SCHAEFFER: Yes, sir.
4	MR. CHRAPPAH: Before I call the
5	second speaker I want to acknowledge the presence
6	of our For-Hire Vehicle Advisory Council member,
7	Ms. Dotti Love Wade. Good to see you.
8	MS. WADE: Thank you.
9	MR. CHRAPPAH: I appreciate the
10	efforts that you put into the industry and your
11	focus on our cause and our protection and we are
12	delighted to have you in the new role, so thank
13	you.
14	MS. WADE: Thank you so much.
15	MR. CHRAPPAH: Second registered
16	speaker is Mr. Royale Simms. Royale, would you
17	please provide to the seat and provide your
18	testimony.
19	MR. SIMMS: Good morning.
20	MR. CHRAPPAH: Good morning.
21	MR. SIMMS: Thank you for the
22	opportunity to testify. I also don't think it'll

take 30 minutes.

First, on the actual hearing itself.

District of Columbia Taxicab Commission held a rate hearing that was required by DC Code 50-301 17 on June 20th, 2014. Prior to that public hearing there were four notices published within the DC register. The notice for this hearing was published on October 28th, 2016 and this hearing was scheduled for a week later. The Department of For-Hire Vehicles did not comply with the requirement to review the rate within 24 months. The Department of For-Hire Vehicles has fast-tracked in this rate review without providing adequate notice to the public. We're asking that the department hold a second public hearing.

This agency was recently established and it was taken from the democratic structure of the commission. I think it's a dubious signal to the industry and to the public in large of the regulatory practices to come that the Department would provide one-week notice before deciding to hold a public hearing on the most important

economic discussion impacting stakeholders. The commission provided 48 days notice before the last rate review, and so why would the standard be lower for the Department of For-Hire Vehicles?

The Department of For-Hire Vehicles
must meet its mandate to maintain a taxicab
transportation system which provides owners and
operators of taxicabs with reasonable and just
compensation for their services, and which is
reasonably priced and readily accessible across
to a broad section of the public. A significant
opportunity for the public to provide input is
necessary for the agency to meet that mandate.

I just want to talk quickly about growth in the number of providers while there's a decline in trips. In 2014 the number of taxicab drivers decreased from the previous year to 6,944 and the total trips declined to 18 million. In 2015 the number of licensed taxicab drivers increased to 8,094 while the total trips declined to 16.2 million. In 2016 the number of licensed taxicab drivers increased to 9,660 and total

trips declined to 14.7 million. The total industry revenues have declined by an estimated 30 percent in three years, while the number of operators has increased by nearly 40 percent.

The Department of For-Hire Vehicle's policies have created a surplus in drivers competing for decreasing revenues. We believe that the Department of For-Hire Vehicles should increase the training and testing requirements to ensure that operators are able to provide the level of services demanded by customers. The agency should produce a study to determine what is actual driver income. The last industry study of 2014 is no longer valid as the fleet has increased and the baseline trips per hour has decreased. We recommend that the Department of For-Hire Vehicles complete a study that projects future incomes based on trends and determine a rate structure using the projections.

On sources of increased revenue, we want to see more transparency from the Department of For-Hire Vehicles. The agency has announced

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

several grant programs and pilot initiatives.

However, we have received complaints from drivers about the pricing structure related to service delivery and the scoring related to grant applications. Our members want to participate in programs offered, but at the same time they want to know more about how the wages are determined and how grantees are selected before signing contracts.

To ensure just compensation for drivers, the Department of For-Hire Vehicles should take three steps to increase revenues generated taxicabs. First, the Department of For-Hire Vehicles should eliminate the customer surcharge. Second, the Department of For-Hire Vehicles should approve a trunk usage fee. Finally, the Department of For-Hire Vehicles should permit an additional, should increase the extra passenger charge.

The surcharge revenues has increased the income for the Department of For-Hire Vehicles, the surcharge amount is passed onto

customers and we think it should be eliminated and that income diverted to drivers. If the income from the surcharge is directed to drivers, this would increase the revenues for the drivers, offset some of their losses and the customers will not experience any price increase. first preference is that the surcharge is completely eliminated. That would give drivers an increase of 1.5 percent on their average fare. Currently the surcharge is 25 cents. Department of For-Hire Vehicles does not want to eliminate the surcharge, we suggest a reduction of the surcharge by 30 percent to match the decline in taxicab business. That will reduce the surcharge to 17 cents providing drivers with a 1 percent increase in their average fare.

Trunk fees; the trunk fee was
eliminated when the meter was set to its current
level. The fee incentivizes high levels of
customer service by compensating drivers for the
additional work required. When loading luggage,
unloading luggage for customers, taxi drivers

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

take several additional steps they wouldn't normally have to perform. These steps increase risk to injury, increases trip time, increases wear and tear on their vehicles. Currently drivers that perform these tasks are uncompensated.

On extra passenger fee, we suggest an increase of \$1 per trip. Drivers request that their services be fairly compensated. The additional extra passenger fee would be an adjustment to ensure drivers are making fair wages. It's particularly detrimental for drivers who use vans or SUV's; those services are -- they can load up seven passengers and only have a dollar for one additional passenger. It's not fair; it's incompatible with the market. If you look at private vehicles for-hire, larger cars typically get more money for the number of passengers they can load.

Then a final note, that I know you all can't do much about, but I think that this agency should look at -- the vehicle for-hire industry

is in the middle of an unsustainable price war that is driving rates for service below what is profitable for any driver. Mega-corporations are subsidizing their trips at a loss in efforts to drive out current competition and create new monopoly enterprises. The Department of For-Hire Vehicles has determined that the most important factor in consumer choice is price. The ability of these mega-corporations to offer services below a fixed rate creates an unfair market and is fueling the growth of private vehicle for-hire services.

The most economically sound solution is the creation of a price floor for all of vehicle for-hire services. The inherent unfairness in the market can be resolved by making all the vehicle for-hire services use the mileage rate set for taxicabs. Currently there's a consumer surplus of about a \$1.60 per dollar spent on Uber. The current per mile rate for Uber is \$1.02, while the current mileage rate for taxis is \$2.16. A price floor is feasible at the

taxi mileage rate and a consumer surplus of 56 cents will remain.

Basically, consumers are willing to pay higher rates for livery service. The steep discounts are driven by monopolistic motives. collapse in the taxi industry caused by pricing pressures from private for-hire services will leave consumers vulnerable. It is not in the public interest to allow this continued undercut. A price floor is a reasonable step when a commodity or a good is available at a price too low for fare return. Price floors are effective when set below an equilibrium price. Here the equilibrium price is \$2.62 per mile. A price floor at \$2.16 will be an appropriate intervention to provide assistance to vehicle for-hire drivers.

That's it.

MR. CHRAPPAH: Thank you, Royale
Simms. I want to make sure I understand a few
things about your testimony. When the surcharge
was implemented three years back, as part of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

modern taxi system roll out, drivers were given 25 cents extra per trip, which is reflected in the base rate of \$3.25, and passengers were assessed 25 cents per trip also, which is the consumer surcharge as far as the agency. Are you suggesting that the 25 cents passenger surcharge is completely eliminated, or you are suggesting that it is redistributed back to the driver earnings or something in between? Can you clarify that a little bit?

MR. SIMMS: So, the 25 cent rate comes to a commission; we would suggest leaving it in the fare so the driver still gets \$3.50 from the customer. But instead of that money flowing to the commission, we would suggest that it stays with the driver. If you don't want to do that, I will understand why you wouldn't. We suggest reducing it to 17 cents, but leaving it \$3.50 so that the customer still pays the same amount. But instead of 25 cents going to the commission,

MR. CHRAPPAH: So, you are suggesting

a base rate of \$3.50 and then 17 goes to the 1 2 commission, and that's it? 3 MR. SIMMS: 17 cents goes to 4 commission. Yes, so the consumer sees no 5 difference on the current price structure. Okay. Can you tell me 6 MR. CHRAPPAH: 7 a little bit more about the current fees from 8 your experience, bumping taxis against other 9 transportation modes, specifically ride-share 10 platforms. Do they have a luggage or trunk fee? 11 MR. SIMMS: No one has it right now, 12 neither private vehicles or public vehicles forhire have a trunk fee. 13 14 So, that will be a new MR. CHRAPPAH: 15 fee that you'd like to be assessed? 16 MR. SIMMS: So, part of my last point 17 was that everyone in this market from the 18 driver's perspective is getting paid under what 19 the service demands. These wages aren't fair for 20 the service that they're providing, risk to 21 injury. So, yes, it's just compensation for the

work.

MR. CHRAPPAH: Okay, one idea that have been floated and hopefully it will have us to weigh a lot more is the addition of some type of automatic tip; if the driver gets weighed in the automatic tip, it's not applicable. What are your initial thoughts on that?

MR. SIMMS: So, an automatic tip as a base tip, meaning that if you get some rating that you automatically get 20 percent or is it 25 percent? Tipping, what we have now in NTS, they're giving three options for a tip and consumers have been tipping pretty well. don't know if you bill them a tip. I think consumer choice is important when it comes to a I don't know what consumers would think about that, and I don't know how it will be determined. So, I would need more information before I can provide an opinion on that automatic tip.

MR. CHRAPPAH: Okay, thank you very much for your time. We will consider your testimony as well as others. For the group who

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

are here today and those who were not able to join us, however there are few comments by our Chief Council Member, Dotti Wade, will also share a few thoughts. Up until November 15th you can still submit comments before the commission would go deep into this research and analysis of the restructure.

So, based on what you heard today or based on ideas you have or new ideas that may come up after today is to have an opportunity to submit some thoughts for us to consider, and that opportunity will remain live until the close of business on November 15th. You simply have to email, fax or mail your comment to the secretary of the Department, the same way that you typically sent other comments.

On that note, I'd like to pass the matter on to Advisory Council Member Wade.

MS. WADE: Good morning. So good to see so many of you back here today. I just have a couple quick questions I'd like clarification on, not right now, but maybe you can send in some

written answers. The first one had to do with the trunk fee, I was wondering if that exists anywhere else in the country, how would you propose assessing a trunk fee, what that rate could potentially be, how would it enhance your competition with the other vehicles for-hire and vehicles in the industry?

Also, on the surcharge, are you aware of what the surcharge that you are currently assessed is used for? And how would the purposes be impacted by, eliminate that surcharge? services would be impacted by eliminating that surcharge? Would it basically just be based for a rate increase and not fair to the public, their notice to the public that it is a rate increase? I think that's a little disingenuous to say a surcharge and then it not be a surcharge; it's really a base rate increase. I would just like those two major issues addressed for me and help us when we do our research. Most definitely I'd like to know about the trunk fee and what kind of precedent has been set or this would set. Thank

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

you.

MR. CHRAPPAH: We have a few minutes left on the clock, so is there anybody who is not a registered speaker who would like to share a few words? We'll have a minute to just two minutes.

Okay, so I see four hands. We'll go in the order of how I see them. Degfae, Larry and the gentleman behind Royale and another gentleman in the back. So, two minutes each please. Degfae, please.

MS. MIXON: Okay, and before you start your two minutes, if you would spell your name, please, for the court reporter.

MR. SETEGN: My name is Degfae Setegn, D-E-G-F-A-E, S-E-T-E-G-N.

MS. MIXON: Thank you.

MR. SETEGN: Thank you for this opportunity. I have some -- as you well know, that you receive the driver-owned company and we always get the feedback from our drivers, and the main concern the drivers have is the decreasing

number of trips. So, at this critical time where the other share-riding companies charging much less fare during this time and increasing anything or changing any fare will drastically also change the trip number of the taxi drivers.

So, that suggestion or the question came up about the trunk; the drivers they don't want to have, most of them as far as I represent 560 cab drivers. We want just to remain as it is and in future when we have the digital meters where we can at least have the, to change the fares accordingly, let's wait until then, but now we don't need to change anything. Let's have that and then the trunk charge or additional passenger charge will just sway the riders to get another option. So, my suggestion will be let's just stick to what we have and no increase.

Anytime, you know, it is closely followed by the other companies or the ride-sharing, anything which is changed in the DC taxi, immediately it will be popular and there will be the negative publicity again with the

1 taxis, so this is not the time to increase any 2 Thank you. rates. 3 MS. MIXON: Thank you. 4 MR. CHRAPPAH: Thank you, Degfae. 5 How are you? I'm good; how are you 6 MR. FRANKEL: today? 7 8 I'm doing okay. MR. CHRAPPAH: 9 MS. MIXON: Thank you. State and 10 spell your name, please, for the court reporter. 11 MR. FRANKEL: Yes, Larry Frankel. 12 A-R-R-Y, F-R-A-N-K-E-L. 13 MS. MIXON: Thank you, I'll be right 14 back. Thank you. Any change 15 MR. FRANKEL: 16 in fares will only play into the hands of Uber. 17 As long as you allow Uber to break all anti-trust 18 laws and unfair competition no matter where you 19 move, up or down in any fare structure, you will 20 be hit with an anti-trust movement by Uber and 21 Lyft. There's only one way that you can set the 22 level playing field for taxicabs in the city, and what we do and what I'm doing right now in Europe is that we are combining not only the dispatching systems in Europe for taxicabs and limos, but we're also trying to find a standardized fare system, so when any fare gets into a cab in London or in France or in Hungary, they'll be charged the same Euro amount no matter where they go.

This could be done in America, and when it's done on a nationwide basis, you are able to fight anti-trust movements that Uber and Lyft do. It can be used by using the International Taxicab Regulators Association that you're already a member of to provide that idea Taking out jurisdictions in America for taxicabs and limos will provide a better competitive situation for everybody. Now, it sounds kind of crazy to start with, but if you do the math on this, because I mean there are variables; more expensive in New York City than it is in Minneapolis. There's a reason why that may be, but the cab drivers in New York City are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

making less than they are in Minneapolis when you take into the effect of the competition that is unfair there.

So, this is the idea; you cannot move a fare up or down, you cannot bring in surcharges. The only thing you can do is either bring in this idea or directly compete with Uber in all respects and allow there be surge charging at moments when there's less cabs. In all respects, I don't have to go through what Uber does, but if you allow the cab drivers to do exactly what Uber does, that's competitive. But my idea is also if you want to keep things regulated.

Thank you very much.

MR. CHRAPPAH: Could you elaborate a little bit more about the idea of allowing drivers to directly prices or operators? Can you tell me a little bit more about what you're thinking there?

MR. FRANKEL: You mean in surcharging?

I'm sorry.

MR. CHRAPPAH: In terms of surge pricing; I thought I heard something from you that drivers should be allowed to do surge pricing?

Well, yes, as far as MR. FRANKEL: competitive with Uber. Now, of course it'd be difficult to say who should regulate it, but I guess since you're in there, yes, Uber started off by surcharging only when it needed to because of lack of drivers. It doesn't do that anymore; it surcharges over eight times every day in Washington DC. Its own customers do not realize in most cases that they're paying much more for a ride than they would if they had hailed a That's something which should be taxicab. expressed also to the public, but because they do so and because they have such a tight grip on the market -- part of what they do is obviously to destroy the taxicab and limo industries, and they've done so by being able to charge much less when they need to and charge much more when they need to, and don't need to now. So, that's my

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

suggestion.

I mean, I understand that the public, it would not be fair to the public for surcharging, we never felt it was fair for Uber to be allowed to do so. But in the fact that it does do so on a worldwide basis, and this is a fact in the one situation that cab drivers have no basis to compete on or are able to, other than switch to being an Uber driver or Lyft driver. This is something that needs to be considered; if you want to compete, you have to have surge pricing or take it out of the fare.

MR. CHRAPPAH: It would be great if you could diagram it out or at least write some low or high so we consider, because we've heard similar notions and one of the things that bubbled to the surface was the idea that a driver would indicate how much he was going to charge a mile or paid time or the digital meter and that will be posted so that anybody who was looking for that driver would in effect get that surge.

At least the idea is to have floating around, so

1	if you could solidify yourself and send it to us,
2	that will be helpful to us for the research.
3	Thank you.
4	MR. FRANKEL: Okay, appreciate it.
5	Have a good day.
6	MR. CHRAPPAH: You, too. Next
7	speaker.
8	MR. AFWORK: Thank you, all. My name
9	is Yonas Afwork.
10	MR. CHRAPPAH: Could you repeat that,
11	again?
12	MR. AFWORK: Yonas Afwork, a driver.
13	MS. MIXON: Would you spell it,
14	please, for the court reporter?
15	MR. AFWORK: Sure. First name Y-O-N-
16	A-S and last name A-F-W-O-R-K.
17	MS. MIXON: Thank you.
18	MR. AFWORK: You're welcome. Most of
19	my comments are already raised by the gentleman
20	before me, but I would like to stress two more
21	points; the first one is regarding to
22	MS. MIXON: Can you pull the mic a

little bit closer to you?

MR. AFWORK: Okay. Some of the points have already been raised by the gentleman before me. I just have two more points to raise.

MS. MIXON: Sure.

MR. AFWORK: The first one is regarding to, which are of course strongly advised to commission, the Department to consider the flood of issuing face ID to new drivers given the fact that we are struggling income-wise or income has been declined, the past five years we have seen that. The Department has to work on that, to study the demand versus supply of the market to eliminate the addition of face ID to new drivers.

The second one is cost-wise; I don't think it's time for us to compare and contrast with the share-ride companies, given the fact that drivers are heavily regulated, paying excess amount to the system, whereas drivers in share-ride are not considered or they are bypassing the whole regulations. This has to be considerably

studied and addressed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Also, considering the rates, I'm okay with the existing rate structure. I don't think it's time to consider restructuring the fare rate, and also the fact that the snow emergency has been addressed earlier; customers are asking to pay more compared to the other share-rides. But the fact is as a driver, if I'm not working for a week due to snow emergency issues, that can't be an excuse. I'm supposed to be the whole So, if you consider use at the end of the week. they don't have any costs to pay at the end of the week. So, that has to be considered that drivers are heavily regulated and this excess regulations has to be get rid of before we start comparing the taxicab business with the rest of the share rides.

Thank you, that's what I have.

MR. CHRAPPAH: Okay, thank you for your comments. Could you elaborate a little bit on the specific or general nature or provide examples of regulations that need to be

1	eliminated or exercised? Could you give some
2	examples?
3	MR. AFWORK: Regarding the
4	regulations?
5	MR. CHRAPPAH: Or regulations you
6	think needs to go?
7	MR. AFWORK: We're required to carry
8	car insurance, we are also paying all the
9	registering, paying a lot of payments to the
LO	system, including the ones that we pay \$50 once
L1	to the Department, which has nothing to do. We
L2	just sign to the Department, we're paying \$50, so
L3	to my point, that has to be get rid of by the
L 4	Department.
L5	MR. CHRAPPAH: Is that the only thing
L6	you want?
L7	MR. AFWORK: One, the regulations are,
L8	it's so much detail you go through.
L9	MR. CHRAPPAH: Can you elaborate on
20	the nature of regulations? Maybe I can give you
21	some examples; when people talk about
22	deregulation or regulation of regulations, some

of the things that come up, it's not insurance.

Insurance is a safety thing. For example,

someone who will hire you, those two you have to

check, that somebody will hire you and after you

have been checked, prevent felons and those who

don't need to be driving from being behind a

wheel.

Another type of regulation is price structure, so if you have examples now, that's fine, but it will be very helpful for us if you can lay out the chapters or regulations, or even provide examples of what you think will need to go to what has the revenue opportunities. You don't have to do that today if you're not prepared, you can always submit that following a process of submitting what you've told us.

Thank you very much for your time.

MR. AFWORK: Sure, thank you.

MR. CHRAPPAH: The last registered

speaker?

MR. WAHAB: I'm not registered.

MR. CHRAPPAH: The last registered

speaker, that is you, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. WAHAB: Hello everybody. My name is Abdul Wahab, A-B-D-U-L, W-A-H-A-B.

Well, my recommendation is at this time not to increase any rate, even asking reduction. The reason is this; most of passengers who left us, I mean they left us in large number. I mean, there are too many taxicabs, there are too many cabs, but there are no passengers, especially daytime. Most drivers they struggle at night because most share-ride like Uber and Lyft they work at night. So those who was night shift they can vision it, and we are saturated daytime, especially, everywhere, Union Station. There is no Cab Stand; we are just driving around and we cannot find passengers. So, decreasing the rate is the only way we can get the passenger back to us.

I mean, our vehicles are improving; we have mostly hybrid, we have electric vehicles, we have nicer vehicle, newer. But what's the use if you don't have no passengers? See, and the

reason, I pick up some passengers who sometimes switch to taxi and go back to the share. They told actually we left you guys because the shareride is cheaper, half price. The pools or others are much cheaper, like riding a bus. So, we lost the passenger because of the rate, so we have to bring it down to the level of our competitions, so the passenger will look, okay -- right now there are too many empty taxis and it is easy for them to ride with us, especially when the winter comes, it's cold, they cannot wait outside. waiting longer to get a share-ride, because there are not too many, but there are too many empty taxis just driving around.

So, if we lower our price, no one will stand the cold outside, they will run to us and we have more experience, we are more safe drivers than somebody just coming in to make some changes. But the price is the main reason; we have to do same thing what they do, decrease the price, increase the time of surge, because we can compensate. When we lower it, we can compensate

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

when there is a time, a surge, a customer willing 1 2 to pay. So we have to bring the price down and I don't recommend any increase about this. 3 4 You know, emergency, we got to be 5 reasonable, our price has to be lowered. you; it's the price what they fighting us to put 6 7 us out of business. Thank you very much for 8 MR. CHRAPPAH: 9 your testimony. We appreciate it. 10 MR. WAHAB: You're welcome. I think there's one 11 MR. CHRAPPAH: 12 more gentleman who came forward. Please, Mr. Chubbs. 13 14 MR. CHUBBS: Good morning. I was trying to see whether it's morning or afternoon. 15 16 My name is E.J. Chubbs, Independent Cab #69. 17 Well, first I wanted to begin by 18 saying I hear Uber being mentioned a couple 19 times. We can't compare us to Uber, we cannot 20 match Uber. I mean, Uber doesn't have the price 21 structure like we do. What I'm saying is Uber,

for example, can, if you know Uber, you can drive

with Uber if you have a '06 up until now. You all restrict us; we got to have 2011. See, that's a problem with us. I don't think at this particular time, although we may need it, I don't think an increase is a good idea at this time, because we have too much and too many different competition. So, I just believe -- and we slowly going out of business as it is -- if we go and increase our fare structure, that's going to make us go even quicker.

The other thing is if we're going to consider -- I know you all are considering because you have to do it every two years -- but if we was going to think on increasing the rate, I don't think this up and down rate is a good idea, also. We use to get \$1.50 for each additional passenger. I'm not recommending we get \$1.50, but if we get a dollar for each additional passenger, that will be our increase and it wouldn't affect the rate structure. Any other thing, trunk space. I work at a hotel and people come, you pick up four people and sometime

they need a SUV but they want you to try to put it in your trunk.

Now, trunk is very important, because if I go down for an inspection and if I got a slight tear or something, they're going to turn me down for inspection. That's a cause factor to me, but you want me to ride -- I'm just using the four people with huge, huge luggage. We get no compensation for that. If we would be able to charge for the trunk space, I think she asked about, that would also be an increase that we wouldn't have to worry about going all around through this fare structure change.

Again, I repeat myself, I don't think this is the right time to try to get that \$35 hourly rate and I don't agree with going back to \$7 for the Snow Emergency. So, that's my idea of giving us an increase that you won't have to change the whole fare structure; it'll just be an added on, which have always been, the first passenger is always free. Then if you've got three more, you get \$2. Okay, so that would be--

1 Thank you very much for MR. CHRAPPAH: 2 your comments, Mr. Chubbs. I encourage you to submit that in writing after this, but before 3 November 15th to the Secretary of the commission. 4 5 For those of you who want to go to the online channel, you should expect that we'll have a 6 thread on our website, specifically the "Use Your 7 8 Voice" section to tell us what you think so they 9 can provide additional commentary for the, reveal 10 the rate structure. 11 On that note, I'd like to thank you 12 all for spending time with us this Friday. Ι 13 hope you the best of the weekend, and do remember 14 to go out and vote early. 15 Thank you. 16 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter 17 went off the record at 11:07 a.m.) 18 19 20 21 22

Α **A-B-D-U-L** 36:3 A-F-W-O-R-K 31:16 **A-R-R-Y** 26:12 **A-S** 31:16 a.m 1:11 2:2 41:17 **Abdul** 36:3 ability 17:8 able 13:10 22:1 27:11 29:20 30:8 40:9 above-entitled 41:16 accessible 12:10 acknowledge 10:5 **Acting** 1:11,14 2:6 actual 11:2 13:13 added 40:20 addition 9:19 21:3 32:14 **additional** 7:12 14:18 15:21 16:1,10,15 25:14 39:17,19 41:9 addressed 23:19 33:1,6 adequate 3:16 11:14 adjusted 3:9 adjustment 16:11 advised 32:8 **Advisory** 10:6 22:18 advocacy 3:22 affect 39:20 afternoon 38:15 **Afwork** 31:8,9,12,12,15 31:18 32:2,6 34:3,7 34:17 35:18 agency 7:4,18 11:16 12:13 13:12,22 16:21 19:5 agree 40:16 alarm 8:2 alarmed 5:18 6:6 **alarming** 8:6 9:16 allow 18:9 26:17 28:8 28:11 allowed 29:3 30:5 allowing 28:17 **America** 27:9,15 amount 14:22 19:19 27:7 32:20 analysis 22:6 and/or 4:3 announced 13:22 answers 23:1 anti-trust 26:17,20 27:11 anybody 24:3 30:20 anymore 29:10 **Anytime** 25:18 appear 4:2

applicable 21:5 applications 14:5 appreciate 10:9 31:4 appropriate 18:15 approve 14:16 approved 9:20 asked 40:10 asking 11:14 33:6 36:5 **assessed** 19:4 20:15 23:10 assessing 23:4 assistance 18:16 Association 27:13 associations 4:1 attention 4:20 attorneys 4:4 authorizes 2:16 automatic 21:4,5,7,18 automatically 21:9 available 18:11 average 15:9,16 **aware** 23:8

B back 6:10 7:6 9:1,6,20

18:22 19:8 22:20 24:10 26:14 36:18 37:2 40:16 balance 3:14 base 7:3.6 19:3 20:1 21:8 23:18 based 3:19 13:18 22:8 22:9 23:13 baseline 13:15 **basically** 6:19 18:3 23:13 **basis** 27:10 30:6,8 **be--** 40:22 **believe** 13:7 39:7 **best** 41:13 **better** 27:16 **bill** 21:13 bit 8:11 9:2 19:10 20:7 28:17,19 32:1 33:20 **blocks** 6:21 **books** 5:20 break 26:17 bring 6:16 28:5,7 37:7 38:2 broad 12:11 **bubbled** 30:17 bumping 20:8 **bus** 37:5 **business** 9:1 15:14 22:13 33:16 38:7 39:8 businesses 3:21 bypassing 32:21

C cab 25:9 27:5,22 28:11 30:7 36:15 38:16 cabs 28:9 36:9 calibration 5:15 **call** 4:9 10:4 car 34:8 care 7:12,14 **carry** 34:7 cars 7:13 16:17 **cases** 29:13 catch 7:20,21 cause 10:11 40:6 **caused** 7:1 18:6 causing 8:2 cent 19:11 cents 15:10,15 18:2 19:2,4,6,18,20,21 20:3 certainly 4:18 **change** 5:7,14,18 6:10 9:11,16 25:5,11,13 26:15 40:13,19 **changed** 5:11,21 9:15 25:20 changes 2:22 3:12 6:14 37:19 changing 7:4 25:4 channel 41:6 chapters 35:11 **charge** 14:19 25:14,15 29:20,21 30:18 40:10 charged 27:7 Charges 4:22 **charging** 25:2 28:8 **cheaper** 37:4,5 **check** 35:4 **checked** 9:13 35:5 **Chief** 22:3 **choice** 17:8 21:14 Chrappah 1:11,14 2:3,5 8:9 10:1,4,9,15,20 18:19 19:22 20:6,14 21:1,20 24:2 26:4,8 28:16 29:1 30:13 31:6 31:10 33:19 34:5,15 34:19 35:19,22 38:8 38:11 41:1 **Chubbs** 38:13,14,16 41:2 city 26:22 27:20,22 clarification 22:21 **clarify** 19:10 **clock** 24:3 close 4:14 22:12 closely 25:18

cold 37:11,16 collapse 18:6 Columbia 11:3 combining 27:2 come 4:20 8:22 11:20 22:10 35:1 39:22 comes 19:11 21:14 37:11 coming 8:4 37:18 comment 22:14 commentary 41:9 comments 22:2,5,16 31:19 33:20 41:2 **commission** 1:3,10,18 2:22 11:3,18 12:2 19:12,15,20,21 20:2,4 22:5 32:8 41:4 Commissioner 1:15 **COMMISSIONERS** 1:13 commodity 18:11 **companies** 4:1 5:16 6:14 25:2,19 32:18 company 5:17 24:20 **compare** 32:17 38:19 compared 33:7 comparing 33:16 **compensate** 37:22,22 compensated 16:9 compensating 15:20 compensation 12:9 14:10 20:21 40:9 **compete** 28:7 30:8,11 competing 13:7 competition 17:5 23:6 26:18 28:2 39:7 competitions 37:7 competitive 27:17 28:12 29:6 complaints 14:2 complete 13:17 **completely** 15:8 19:7 **comply** 11:10 concern 24:22 concerning 4:21 conducted 2:21 consider 2:20 21:21 22:11 30:15 32:8 33:4 33:11 39:12 considerably 7:22 32:22 consideration 7:19 considered 30:10 32:21 33:13 **considering** 33:2 39:12 consumer 17:8,19 18:1 19:5 20:4 21:14 consumers 18:3,8 21:12,15

closer 32:1

Code 11:4

appears 5:1

continued 18:9 continuing 8:5 contract 8:13 contracts 14:9 contrast 32:17 conversation 3:17 cost-wise 32:16 costs 33:12 Council 10:6 22:3,18 Counsel 1:19 country 23:3 **couple** 6:15 7:15 22:21 38:18 course 29:6 32:7 court 2:16 24:14 26:10 31:14 crazy 27:18 create 17:5 created 13:6 **creates** 17:10 creation 17:14 critical 25:1 current 8:7 15:18 17:5 17:20,21 20:5,7 **currently** 8:13 15:10 16:4 17:18 23:9 **customer** 14:14 15:20 19:14,19 38:1 **customers** 6:1,1 13:11 15:1,5,22 29:12 33:6

D

D-E-G-F-A-E 24:16 **D.C** 1:1,10,11 2:8 damages 7:12 danger 7:12 date 3:13 dated 5:5 day 6:2 9:4,5 29:11 31:5 days 3:7,13 12:2 daytime 36:10,14 **DC** 2:16 11:4,7 25:20 29:12 December 5:10 deciding 11:21 decision 3:8,14 decline 12:16 15:14 declined 12:18,20 13:1 13:2 32:11 **decrease** 6:9 7:1 37:20 decreased 12:17 13:16 decreasing 13:7 24:22 36:17 deep 22:6 definitely 23:20 Degfae 24:8,11,15 26:4 delighted 10:12 delivery 14:4

demand 32:13 demanded 13:11 **demands** 20:19 democratic 11:17 departed 2:14 department 1:1,10 2:6 2:17,18 3:4,10,14 11:9,12,15,20 12:4,5 13:5,8,16,21 14:11,13 14:15,17,21 15:11 17:6 22:15 32:8,12 34:11,12,14 deregulation 34:22 destroy 29:19 **detail** 34:18 **determine** 13:12,18 determined 2:22 14:7 17:7 21:17 determines 3:10 detrimental 16:12 diagram 30:14 difference 20:5 different 7:22 39:6 difficult 7:8 29:7 digital 25:10 30:19 digitally 3:2 directed 15:3 directly 28:7,18 **Director** 1:12,14 2:6 discounts 18:5 discussion 12:1 disingenuous 23:16 dispatched 3:2 dispatching 27:2 distance 6:4 District 11:3 diverted 15:2 divided 3:19 doing 26:8 27:1 dollar 16:15 17:19 39:18 Dotti 1:15 10:7 22:3 double 7:3,6 drastic 6:9 drastically 25:4 drive 17:5 38:22 driven 18:5 driver 9:5 13:13 17:3 19:8.13.16 21:4 30:9 30:9,17,21 31:12 33:8 driver's 20:18 driver-owned 24:20 drivers 5:17 7:9,10 8:3 8:22 9:15 12:17,19,22 13:6 14:2,11 15:2,3,4 15:8,15,20,22 16:5,8

16:11,12 18:17 19:1

24:21,22 25:5,7,9

27:22 28:11,18 29:3 29:10 30:7 32:9,15,19 32:20 33:14 36:10 37:17 driving 17:2 35:6 36:16 37:14 drop 6:20,22 9:2 dubious 11:18 due 7:1 33:9

Ε

E.J 38:16 earlier 33:6 early 41:14 earnings 19:9 **easy** 37:9 economic 12:1 economically 17:13 **effect** 5:3 6:7 28:2 30:21 effective 18:12 **efforts** 10:10 17:4 eight 29:11 either 7:5 28:6 elaborate 28:16 33:20 34:19 electric 36:20 **eliminate** 3:2 14:14 15:12 23:11 32:14 eliminated 15:1.8.18 19:7 34:1 eliminating 23:12 email 22:14 **emergency** 5:4,6 6:18 7:2,3,5 9:18 33:5,9 38:4 40:17 **empty** 37:9,13 encourage 41:2 enhance 23:5 ensure 3:16 13:10 14:10 16:11 enterprises 17:6 entities 4:2 equilibrium 18:13,14 **Ernest** 1:11,14 2:5 **especially** 36:10,14 37:10 **ESQ** 1:19 essence 6:17 established 11:16 estimated 13:2 **Euro** 27:7 **Europe** 27:1,3 everybody 27:17 36:2 everybody's 9:10 exactly 28:12 **example** 35:2 38:22 examples 33:22 34:2

34:21 35:9,12 excess 32:19 33:14 excuse 33:10 exercised 34:1 existing 33:3 exists 23:2 expect 41:6 expensive 27:20 experience 15:6 20:8 37:17 expressed 29:16 extra 7:16 14:19 16:7 16:10 19:2

F

F-R-A-N-K-E-L 26:12 face 32:9,14 fact 7:19 9:14 30:5,7 32:10,18 33:5,8 factor 17:8 40:6 fair 16:11,16 20:19 23:14 30:3.4 **fairly** 16:9 far 19:5 25:8 29:5 fare 3:17 7:2,3,3,6 9:1 9:18 15:9,16 18:12 19:13 25:3,4 26:19 27:4,5 28:5 30:12 33:4 39:9 40:13,19 fares 8:18 25:12 26:16 fast- 11:12 fax 22:14 feasible 17:22 fee 14:16 15:17,19 16:7 16:10 20:10,13,15 23:2,4,21 feedback 3:6,7 24:21 fees 15:17 20:7 **felons** 35:5 felt 30:4 field 26:22 fight 27:11 fighting 38:6 final 3:3 5:9 16:20 **Finally** 14:17 find 27:4 36:16 fine 35:10 first 11:2 14:13 15:7 23:1 31:15,21 32:6 38:17 40:20 five 32:11 fixed 17:10 fleet 9:13 13:14 floated 21:2 floating 30:22 **flood** 32:9 floor 17:14,22 18:10,15 **floors** 18:12

flow 19:21 **flowing** 19:14 focus 10:11 followed 25:19 following 35:15 for- 20:12 for-hire 1:1,10 2:6,17 3:4,21 10:6 11:10,12 12:4,5 13:5,8,17,22 14:11,14,15,17,21 15:11 16:17,22 17:6 17:11,15,17 18:7,17 23:6 forward 38:12 four 11:6 24:7 39:22 40:8 frame 6:4 France 27:6

G

Frankel 26:6,11,11,15

28:21 29:5 31:4

Friday 1:7 2:9 41:12

future 13:18 25:10

free 6:9 40:21

fueling 17:11

general 1:3,19 33:21 generated 14:13 gentleman 24:9,10 31:19 32:3 38:12 getting 5:16 9:6 20:18 give 4:4 15:8 34:1,20 given 19:1 32:9,18 giving 21:11 40:18 grant 14:1,4 grantees 14:8 grip 29:17 group 21:22 groups 3:22 growth 12:15 17:11 guess 29:8

Н

hailed 29:14 hails 6:9 half 37:4 hands 24:7 26:16 happen 7:13 hear 4:14 38:18 heard 22:8 29:2 30:15 hearing 1:5,11 2:4,7 3:5 3:7,13,18 11:2,4,6,7,8 11:15,22 heavily 32:19 33:14 held 11:3 Hello 36:2 help 8:10 23:19 helpful 31:2 35:10 high 15:19 30:15 higher 18:4 hire 20:13 35:3,4 hit 26:20 hold 3:5 11:15,22 hope 41:13 hopefully 21:2 hotel 39:21 hour 5:9,9 8:13 9:9 13:15 hourly 8:14 40:16 hours 8:3 huge 8:15 40:8,8 Hungary 27:6

hybrid 36:20

ID 32:9,14 idea 21:1 27:14 28:4,7 28:13,17 30:17,22 39:5,16 40:17 ideas 22:9,9 immediately 25:21 impacted 23:11,12

impacting 12:1 implemented 3:12 18:22

important 11:22 17:7 21:14 40:3 improving 36:19

inadvertently 5:1,8 9:21 incentive 7:9,13 incentivizes 15:19

including 3:22 4:3 34:10

income 13:13 14:21 15:2,3 32:11 income-wise 32:10

incomes 13:18 incompatible 16:16 increase 5:19 6:5 7:2 8:6 13:9 14:12,18

15:4,6,9,16 16:2,8 23:14,15,18 25:17 26:1 36:5 37:21 38:3 39:5,9,19 40:11,18

increased 5:8 12:20,22 13:4,15,20 14:20

increases 16:3,3 increasing 25:3 39:14 Independent 38:16

11:19 13:2,13 16:22

indicate 30:18 industries 29:19 industry 3:15 10:10

18:6 23:7 information 21:17 inherent 17:15

initial 21:6 initiatives 14:1 injury 16:3 20:21 input 12:12 inquiries 5:17 inspection 40:4,6 insurance 34:8 35:1,2 interest 3:15 18:9 International 27:13 intervention 18:16 invite 2:12

issue 3:8 issues 23:19 33:9 issuing 32:9 it'd 29:6 it'll 10:22 40:19

J

JAQUES 1:19 Jeffrey 4:8,12,17 join 2:13 22:2 JUANDA 1:18 June 11:5 jurisdictions 8:20 27:15

K

keep 3:20 28:13

L

L- 26:11 lack 29:10 large 6:8 7:1 11:19 36:8 larger 16:17 Larry 24:8 26:11 laws 26:18 lay 35:11 leaders 4:3 leave 18:8 leaving 19:12,18 left 24:3 36:7,7 37:3 legal 9:11 LERNER 1:19

let's 25:12,13,16 level 13:11 15:19 26:22 37:7

levels 15:19 licensed 12:19,21 limo 29:19 limos 27:3,16

line 8:4 9:14 lined 8:3

little 8:11 9:2 19:10 20:7 23:16 28:17,19

live 22:12 livery 18:4 load 16:14,19

32:1 33:20

loading 15:21 located 2:7 London 27:6 long 26:17 longer 13:14 37:12 look 7:4,18 8:12,17,21 16:17,22 37:8 **looked** 9:19 **looking** 30:20 loss 17:4 **losses** 15:5 **lost** 37:5 **lot** 5:16,22 6:14 8:16 21:3 34:9 **Love** 1:15 10:7 low 18:12 30:15 lower 12:4 37:15,22 lowered 38:5 luggage 15:21,22 20:10 40:8 **Lyft** 8:18 26:21 27:12

М

30:9 36:12

Madame 4:9 mail 22:14 main 24:22 37:19 maintain 12:6 **major** 23:19 majority 5:11 9:15 making 3:14 5:7 16:11 17:17 28:1 makings 5:3 managers 4:3 mandate 12:6,13 March 5:5 market 8:7 16:16 17:10 17:16 20:17 29:18 32:14 match 15:13 38:20 math 27:19 matter 22:18 26:18 27:7 41:16 mean 8:17 27:19 28:21 30:2 36:7,8,19 38:20 meaning 21:8 meet 12:6,13 MEETING 1:3 mega-corporations 17:3,9 member 10:6 22:3,18 27:14 members 14:5 mentioned 38:18

met 1:10

meter 3:2 5:11,14,17

6:14 15:18 30:19

meters 6:13 25:10

mic 31:22 microphone 4:14 middle 17:1 mile 7:7,11 17:20 18:14 30:19 mileage 17:18,21 18:1 miles 7:15 million 12:18,21 13:1 Minneapolis 27:21 28:1 **minute** 24:5 minutes 4:5,18,19 11:1 24:2,6,10,13 **MIXON** 1:18 4:12 24:12 24:17 26:3,9,13 31:13 31:17,22 32:5 modern 19:1 **modes** 20:9 modifications 3:11 moment 2:13,15 moments 28:9 money 16:18 19:14 monopolistic 18:5 monopoly 17:6 months 11:11 morning 2:3 10:19,20 22:19 38:14.15 motives 18:5 move 26:19 28:4 movement 26:20 movements 27:11

Ν

name 2:5 24:13,15 26:10 31:8,15,16 36:2 38:16 nationwide 27:10 nature 33:21 34:20 nearly 13:4 **necessary** 5:7 12:13 need 4:18 21:17 25:13 29:21,22,22 33:22 35:6,12 39:4 40:1 needed 3:12 29:9 needs 9:18 30:10 34:6 negative 25:22 neither 20:12 **net** 9:4 **never** 30:4 new 10:12 17:5 20:14 22:9 27:20,22 32:9,15 newer 36:21 nicer 36:21 night 36:11,12,13 normally 16:2 note 16:20 22:17 41:11 **notice** 6:11 11:7,14,21 12:2 23:15 notices 11:6

notions 30:16 November 1:8 2:9 22:4 22:13 41:4 NTS 21:10 number 12:15,16,19,21 13:3 16:18 25:1,5 36:8

0

obviously 29:18 October 11:8 offer 17:9 offered 14:6 **offset** 15:5 once 2:20 34:10 one's 5:11,21 one-week 11:21 ones 34:10 **online** 41:5 **operators** 3:17 12:8 13:4,10 28:18 **opinion** 21:18 opportunities 35:13 opportunity 10:22 12:12 22:10,12 24:19 opposed 9:21 option 25:16 options 21:11 order 4:10 24:8 original 7:2 9:20 originally 3:18 outside 8:19 37:11,16 owners 4:3 9:13 12:7

P

P 1:19 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 2:1 paid 20:18 30:19 part 3:3,20,21 4:6,6,7 18:22 20:16 29:18 participant 4:4 participate 14:5 particular 39:4 particularly 16:12 **parts** 3:19 pass 22:17 **passed** 14:22 passenger 14:19 16:7 16:10,15 19:6 25:15 36:18 37:6,8 39:17,19 40:21 passengers 8:5 16:14 16:19 19:3 36:7,10,17 36:22 37:1 pattern 6:5 pay 18:4 33:7,12 34:10 38:2

34:8,9,12 payments 34:9 **pays** 19:19 people 7:10 8:16 9:2,6 34:21 39:22,22 40:8 percent 8:1 9:12 13:3,4 15:9,13,16 21:9,10 **perform** 16:2,5 permit 14:18 perspective 20:18 pick 37:1 39:22 picking 8:4 **pilot** 14:1 **Place** 1:11 2:8 platforms 20:10 play 26:16 playing 26:22 please 4:9,13,15 10:17 24:11,11,14 26:10 31:14 38:12 **point** 20:16 34:13 points 31:21 32:2,4 policies 13:6 **pools** 37:4 popular 25:21 **posted** 30:20 potentially 23:5 practices 11:20 precedent 23:22 preference 15:7 prepared 35:15 presence 10:5 **PRESENT** 1:13,16 presiding 1:12 pressures 18:7 **pretty** 21:12 prevent 35:5 previous 12:17 price 15:6 17:1,8,14,22 18:10,11,12,13,14,14 20:5 35:8 37:4,15,19 37:21 38:2,5,6,20 priced 5:1 12:10 **prices** 28:18 pricing 14:3 18:6 29:2,4 30:12 **Prior** 11:5 private 16:17 17:11 18:7 20:12 problem 39:3 proceeding 2:12 **process** 35:16 produce 13:12 profitable 17:3 programmed 9:10 **programs** 14:1,6

paying 29:13 32:19

projects 13:17 propose 6:10 7:4 23:4 protection 10:11 **provide** 10:17,17 11:21 12:12 13:10 18:16 21:18 27:14,16 33:21 35:12 41:9 provided 3:8 12:2 providers 12:15 provides 12:7 **providing** 11:13 15:15 20:20 **public** 1:5 2:4,7 3:5,16 3:21 11:5,14,15,19,22 12:11,12 18:9 20:12 23:14,15 29:16 30:2,3 publicity 25:22 **published** 5:10 11:6,8 pull 31:22 purposes 23:10 put 10:10 38:6 40:1

Q

question 8:10 25:6 questions 22:21 quick 22:21 quicker 39:10 quickly 12:14 quite 6:8

R

raise 32:4 raised 31:19 32:3 rate 1:5 5:8,11,18,19 6:3,11,18 7:7 8:1,6,13 8:15,15,19 9:20,21 11:4,11,13 12:3 13:19 17:10,18,20,21 18:1 19:3,11 20:1 23:4,14 23:15,18 33:3,5 36:5 36:17 37:6 39:14,15 39:20 40:16 41:10 rates 2:18 3:1,2,4,9 4:21,22 5:14 6:5 7:18 7:22 17:2 18:4 26:2 33:2 rating 21:8 readily 12:10 realize 29:12 realizing 9:1 reason 27:21 36:6 37:1 37:19 reasonable 3:17 7:11 12:8 18:10 38:5 reasonably 12:10 receive 24:20 received 14:2 recommend 13:16 38:3

projections 13:19

recommendation 36:4 recommending 39:17 record 41:17 redistributed 19:8 reduce 15:14 reduced 9:1 reducing 9:19 19:18 reduction 15:12 36:6 reflected 19:2 regarding 31:21 32:7 34:3 register 11:7 registered 3:20 4:5,6,7 4:10 10:15 24:4 35:19 35:21,22 registering 34:9 regular 6:1 regulate 29:7 regulated 28:14 32:19 33:14 regulation 34:22 35:8 regulations 32:22 33:15,22 34:4,5,17,20 34:22 35:11 Regulators 27:13 regulatory 11:20 related 14:3,4 remain 18:2 22:12 25:9 remember 41:13 repeat 31:10 40:14 reporter 24:14 26:10 31:14 represent 25:8 representatives 4:2 request 16:8 required 3:5 11:4 15:21 34:7 requirement 11:11 requirements 13:9 requires 2:18 research 22:6 23:20 31.2 resolved 17:16 respects 28:8,10 rest 33:16 restrict 39:2 restructure 2:5,19 3:11 22:7 restructuring 33:4 result 9:4 return 18:12 **reveal** 41:9 revenue 9:5 13:20 35:13 revenues 13:2,7 14:12 14:20 15:4 review 1:5 2:4,19,21 3:3 3:7 11:11,13 12:3

rid 33:15 34:13 ride 9:3 29:14 32:21 37:4,10 40:7 ride- 25:19 ride-share 20:9 riders 25:15 rides 3:3 33:17 riding 5:20,22 37:5 risk 16:3 20:20 road 7:10 **role** 10:12 **roll** 19:1 Room 1:11 Royale 4:8 10:16,16 18:19 24:9 rule- 5:2,6 rule-making 5:4,10 run 8:18 37:16

S

running 5:12

S-E-T-E-G-N 24:16 safe 37:17 safety 35:2 saturated 36:14 **saying** 38:18,21 **Schaeffer** 4:8,12,16,17 8:10,14 10:3 scheduled 11:9 scoring 14:4 **SE** 1:11 seat 4:13 10:17 second 8:4 10:5,15 11:15 14:15 32:16 **secretary** 1:18 4:9 22:14 41:4 section 4:22 12:11 41:8 seen 6:9 32:12 sees 20:4 selected 14:8 send 22:22 31:1 sent 22:16 **service** 14:3 15:20 17:2 18:4 20:19.20 services 12:9 13:11 16:9,13 17:9,12,15,17 18:7 23:12 set 2:17 15:18 17:18 18:13 23:22,22 26:21 Setegn 24:15,15,18 seven 16:14

Shannon 1:10 2:8

share- 32:20 37:3

share-rides 33:7

37:2

37.12

share 22:3 24:4 33:17

share-ride 32:18 36:11

share-riding 25:2 sharing 25:20 **shift** 36:13 **short** 7:15 sign 4:10,15 34:12 **signal** 11:18 significant 12:11 signing 14:8 silence 2:13,15 similar 30:16 **Simms** 4:8 10:16,19,21 18:20 19:11 20:3,11 20:16 21:7 **simply** 22:13 sir 10:3 36:1 situation 27:17 30:7 slight 40:5 **slowly** 39:7 **snow** 6:18 7:1,2,5,10 9:18 33:5,9 40:17 solicit 3:6 solidify 31:1 solution 17:13 **somebody** 5:14 35:4 37:18 somebody's 5:19 someone's 5:22 sorry 28:22 sound 17:13 **sounds** 27:18 **sources** 13:20 Southeast 2:8 **space** 39:21 40:10 sparked 5:13 **speaker** 10:5,16 24:4 31:7 35:20 36:1 speakers 3:20 4:5,6,7 4:10 specific 33:21 specifically 20:9 41:7 **spell** 24:13 26:10 31:13 spending 41:12 spent 17:20 **STAFF** 1:16 stakeholder 3:6 stakeholders 3:15 12:1 stand 36:15 37:16 standard 12:3 standardized 27:4 start 24:12 27:18 33:15 started 2:11 5:14 29:8 **State** 26:9 **Station** 7:20,21 8:2 36:15 **stays** 19:15 **steep** 18:4

stick 25:17 sticker 4:22 9:22 **stress** 31:20 strongly 32:7 structure 1:5 11:17 13:19 14:3 20:5 26:19 33:3 35:9 38:21 39:9 39:20 40:13,19 41:10 struggle 36:11 struggling 32:10 studied 33:1 **study** 13:12,13,17 32:13 **submit** 22:5,11 35:15 41:3 submitting 35:16 subsidizing 17:4 sudden 6:2 suggest 15:12 16:7 19:12,15,17 suggesting 8:12 19:6,7 19:22 suggestion 25:6,16 30:1 suggestions 9:8 Suite 1:11 **supply** 32:13 supposed 33:10 surcharge 14:15,20,22 15:3,7,10,12,13,15 18:21 19:5,6 23:8,9 23:11,13,17,17 surcharges 28:6 29:11 **surcharging** 28:21 29:9 30:4 surface 30:17 surge 28:8 29:1,3 30:11 30:21 37:21 38:1 surplus 13:6 17:19 18:1 **SUV** 40:1 **SUV's** 16:13 sway 25:15 switch 30:9 37:2 switching 6:13 **system** 5:5 12:7 19:1 27:5 32:20 34:10 systems 27:3

Т

table 4:13 taken 11:17 takes 7:14 talk 12:14 34:21 talking 5:6 tasks 16:5 taxi 6:8 7:20 8:1,19 15:22 18:1,6 19:1 25:5,21 37:2

step 18:10

steps 14:12 16:1,2

II			
taxicab 1:5 2:5,18,19	12:16,18,20 13:1,15		1
2:22 3:1,3,8,11,22 4:1	17:4 25:1	W-A-H-A-B 36:3	1.02 17:21
11:3 12:6,16,19,22	trunk 14:16 15:17,17		1.5 15:9
15:14 27:13 29:15,19	20:10,13 23:2,4,21	Wade 1:15 10:7,8,14	1.50 39:16,18
33:16	25:7,14 39:21 40:2,3	22:3,18,19	, and the second
taxicabs 12:8 14:13	40:10	wages 14:7 16:12 20:19	1.60 17:19
17:18 26:22 27:3,16	try 40:1,15	Wahab 35:21 36:2,3	10:15 1:11 2:2,10
36:9	trying 27:4 38:15	38:10	11:07 41:17
taxis 5:12 9:3,7,12	turn 40:5	wait 5:2,7,12 9:8,20	120 3:6,13
17:22 20:8 26:1 37:9	two 2:20 3:19 4:5 5:3,21	25:12 37:11	14.7 13:1
37:14	7:17 9:8,11 23:19	waiting 8:3 37:12	15 6:18,19
tear 16:4 40:5	24:5,10,13 31:20 32:4	wanted 6:16 38:17	15th 22:4,13 41:4
tell 20:6 28:19 38:5 41:8	35:3 39:13	war 17:1	16.2 12:21
terms 29:1	type 21:3 35:8	Washington 1:11 2:8	17 11:5 15:15 19:18,21
testify 10:22	typically 16:18 22:16	29:12	20:1,3
testimony 8:11 10:18	typicany 10.10 22.10	way 6:12 22:15 26:21	18 12:18
18:21 21:22 38:9	U	36:18	18.50 6:20,22
testing 13:9	Uber 7:21 8:1,18 17:20	wear 16:4	2
thank 2:16 4:16,18 8:8	17:21 26:16,17,20	website 41:7	
8:9 10:1,8,12,14,21	27:11 28:7,10,12 29:6	week 11:9 33:9,11,13	2 4:6 40:22
18:19 21:20 23:22	29:8 30:4,9 36:12	weekend 41:13	2.16 17:22 18:15
24:17,18 26:2,3,4,9	38:18,19,20,20,21,22	weeks 6:15	2.62 18:14
26:13,15 28:15 31:3,8	39:1	weigh 21:3	20 6:21 21:9
31:17 33:18,19 35:17	Uber's 8:3	weighed 21:4	20020 1:11
35:18 38:8 41:1,11,15	uncompensated 16:6	welcome 2:4 31:18	2011 39:2
things 4:20 7:17 18:21	undercut 18:9	38:10	2012 6:18
28:13 30:16 35:1	understand 8:11 18:20	went 41:17	2014 2:21 5:10 11:5
thought 29:2	19:17 30:2	wheel 35:7	12:16 13:14
thoughts 9:14 21:6	unfair 17:10 26:18 28:3	willing 18:3 38:1	2015 5:5 12:19
22:4,11	unfairness 17:16	winter 37:10	2016 1:8 11:8 12:21
thread 41:7	Union 7:20,21 8:2 36:15	wondering 23:2	2023 2:7
three 13:3 14:12 18:22	unions 3:22	words 24:5	2032 1:11
21:11 40:22	unloading 15:22	work 6:1 15:21 20:22	20th 11:5
tight 29:17	unsustainable 17:1	32:12 36:12 39:21	2235 1:10 2:8
times 6:20 29:11 38:19	usage 14:16	working 33:8	24 11:11
tip 21:4,5,7,8,11,13,15	use 8:5,16 16:13 17:17	worldwide 30:6	25 5:9,12 6:11 9:10,20
21:19	33:11 36:21 39:16	worry 40:12	15:10 19:2,4,6,11,20
tipping 21:10,12	41:7	wouldn't 16:1 19:17	21:9
TNC's 6:7	71.7	39:20 40:12	28th 11:8
today 2:9 22:1,8,10,20		write 30:14	3
26:7 35:14	valid 13:14	writing 41:3	
today's 2:11 3:18	vans 16:13	written 23:1	3.25 19:3
told 35:16 37:3	variables 27:20	X	3.50 19:13,18 20:1
total 12:18,20,22 13:1	vehicle 3:21 6:3 10:6		30 4:4,18 11:1 13:3
touring 8:15,15	16:22 17:11,15,17	Y	15:13
town 7:20,21	18:16 36:21		35 5:2,9 8:13 9:9 40:15
tracked 11:13	Vehicle's 13:5	Y-O-N- 31:15	4
traffic 6:5	vehicles 1:1,10 2:7,17	year 12:17	-
training 13:9	3:4 11:10,12 12:4,5	years 2:20 5:4,21 9:12	4 7:6,11,16
TranCo 4:17	13:8,17,22 14:11,14	13:3 18:22 32:11	40 13:4
transparency 13:21	14:16,17,22 15:11	39:13 Vanas 31:0 12	48 12:2
transportation 12:7	16:4,17 17:7 20:12,12	Yonas 31:9,12	4th 1:8 2:9
20:9	23:6,7 36:19,20	York 27:20,22	5
traveling 3:16 7:11	versus 32:13	Z	
trends 13:18	vision 36:13		50 34:10,12
trip 6:19,19 7:5 9:6 16:3	Voice 41:8	0	50-301 11:4 56 18:1
16:8 19:2,4 25:5	vote 41:14	06 39:1	560 25:9
trips 6:2,8,8 7:1,15 9:3	vulnerable 18:8	00 09.1	200 20.9

			40
	I	I	1
6			
2 044 12:17			
6,944 12:17			
60 8:1			
69 38:16			
6th 5:5			
3611 0.0			
7			
7 7:5 40:17			
8			
<u> </u>			
3,094 12:20 30 9:12			
30 9:12			
301 4:22			
001 7.22 004 7.40 F.0			
301.7c1C 5:8			
9			
0,660 12:22			
,000 12.22			

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Hearing to Review Taxicab Rate Structure

Before: DC Department of For-Hire Vehicles

Date: 11-04-16

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &